RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 0 2 2006

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

NOTICE OF CONFIDENTIALITY

The information in this transmission is confidential and may be privileged and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. Dissemination, reproduction or any other use of this information by any person or entity other than the named recipient is strictly prohibited and is a violation of law. If the information in this transmission is erroneously transmitted to or received by any person or entity other than the named recipient, please contact the undersigned immediately by collect telephone call to arrange for return of the information at no cost to you.

Certificate of Facsimile Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to 571-273-8300 on 2 FEBRUARY 2006 addressed to: ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313.

Rotand K. Bowler II

In re Applicant:)	
)	Examiner R. Perez Gutierrez
	HAYEK ET AL.)	
)	Art Unit 2683
Appl. No.:	09/998,489)	
)	
Confirm No.	6375)	
)	
Filed:	30 November 2001)	Atty. Docket No. CS11336

TRANSMITTAL UNDER 37 CFR 1.181

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, Virginia 22313

Sir:

Title:

"RF Receivers And Methods"

Appl. No. 09/998,489 Examiner R. Perez Gutierrez Art Unit 2683

The following is enclosed:

- [X] Petition under 37 CFR 1.181 (7 page);
- [X] Fee Calculation Sheet (DUPLICATE).

Respectfully submitted,

ROLAND K. BOWLER II 2 PEBRUARY 2006

REG. NO. 33,477

TELEPHONE NO. (847) 523-3978 FACSIMILE NO. (847) 523-2350

MOTOROLA, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. (RKB) 600 NORTH U.S. HIGHWAY 45, AN475 LIBERTYVILLE, ILLINOIS 60048

TO:USPTO

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 0 2 2006

			****				-	Comp	lete if K	nown			
FEE.						Application Number 09/998,489							
TRANSMITTAL						Filing Date				30 November 2001			
Patent fees are subject to annual revision						First Named Inventor				HAYEK ET AL.			
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27						Examiner Name				Perez-Gutierrez			
L '' " " '						Group Art Unit			$\neg \dagger$				
		TOTAL	AMOUNT OF PAY	VIENT (S	3) 130.00)		\vdash					
	ME.			,				Attorney Docket No. CS11336 FEE CALCULATION (continued)					
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)													
Check	Credit card		Aoney Order	0	ther	None		4. ADDITIONAL FEES Large Small					
X Deposit	Account:								Entity		Entity		
	Deposit Accour	Number		50	2117	7		Fee	Fee	Fee	Fèr		
1	Deposit Acco	unt Name		MOTO	ROLA INC	<u> </u>		Code	(\$)	Codu	(2)	Foo Description	
	tor is authorize		all that apply)		10.5			1051	130	2051 2052	65 25	Surcharge – lare tiling lee or eath	
X Cha	rge fee(s) indica	moidg den		<u> </u>	l rusom en)	overpayments		1052 1053	50 130	1063	130	Surcharge — Isla Provisional filing Non-English specification	
X Cha	rge any addition	ai fee(s) or t	inderpayments o	fee(s) un	der 37 CFR	1.16 and 1.17		1812	2520	1812	2520	For filing a request for ex parte Reexamination	
			w, except for the	filing tee	lo ine			1804	920°	1804	920°	Requesting patriculon at \$49 prior to Examiner action	
and and	ove-idenliflod do	promative	1194					1805	1840*	1806	1540*	flequesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
		F	SE CALCUI	LATIO	v			1251	120	2751	60	Extension for reply within fast month	
<u> </u>						 		1252 1253	450 1020	2252 2253	225 510	Extension for reply within second month Extension for reply within third month	\vdash
1. BASIC FILIN	IG, SEARCH, A	ND EXAM	INATION FEES					1254	1590	2254	795	Extension for reply within fourth munth	
	FILIN	G FEES	ŞEARC	H FEES	EXAMINA	TION FEES		1255	2160	2255	1080	Extension for reply within fifth month	
Application Type	Large	Small Entity Fee (\$)	, .	ec(\$)	Large Fee(S)	Small Entity Fee(S) Fee	Paid (S)	1401 1402	500 500	2401 2402	250 250	Notice of Appeal Filing a brief to support of an appeal	
Utility	Pee (\$) 300	150		250	200	100	i diku (ar	1403	1000	2403	500	Request for oral hearing	
Design	200	100		50	130	65		1451	1510	1451	1510	Petition to institute a public use proceeding	
Piant Reissuo	200 300	100 150		150 250	160 600	80 300		1452 1453	500 1500	2452 2453	260 750	Petition to revive — unavoldable Petition to revive — unintentional	\vdash
Provisional	200	100		5	ō	0 _		1501	1400	2501	700	Utility issue fee (or missue)	
l			.		<u> </u>			1502 1503	800 1100	2502 2503	400 550	Design issue feo Plant issue feo	
								1460	130	1450	130	Petitions to the Commissioner	130
	u cecò					Fees (E) Sma	a tonaty	1807 1806	50 180	1807 1806	50 180	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(d) Submission of IDS	
2. EXTRA CLA Each claim over 20		ch claim over	20 and more than It	the origine	peters	50 25	(\$)	8021	4D	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment	H
Each independent claim over 3 or, for Reissuss, cach independent claim more than in the original patient 200 100 Multiple Dependent Claims 380 180							1809	790	2809	395	per property (times number of properties) Filling is submission effer final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	一	
Total Christal - 20 o	EdacCa rHP =	ims x	50 -	1 00 Pad (\$)	Fini	Municipalis (Desponsational C E) Fore	beints. Paid (\$)	1810	790	2810	395	For each additional invention to be	
HP = highest number		or, if greater th			300			1801	790	2801	395	enterined (37 CFB § 1 129(b)) Request for Continued Examination	
Indep. Claims	-	Estes Claims		Foo (\$)	\supset	Foo Paid (\$)		1802	900	1802	900	frequest for expedited examination	
-3 or HP =										of a dealgn application			
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper, the application size it is \$250 (\$125 for small entity)										Foe Paid (\$)			
For each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.1ft(s).						Į							
Total Sheets Entre Sheets Number of each additional Fee (3) Fee Paid (3)						-							
<u> </u>	100 =	/50 =	ol qu pruos)	d Autori britis	bar) X	250 -				(S) (spec lication, \$1		o small entity discount)	
1												Fee Paid (5)	
SUBMITTED E	Ϋ́	ROL	AND K. BOWL	ER II				1					
Name (Print/Type)						Registration No. 33,477 Telephone 847-523-39				17-523-3978			
				(ノゝ	<u></u>		Date 2 February 2006					
Signature			<u> </u>	مرو				I Pale					
		\											

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 0 2 2006

								Com	olete if k	nown			
FEE							Application Number 09				09/998,489		
TRANSMITTAL							Filing Date				30 November 2001		
Patent fees are subject to annual revision							First Named Inventor				HAYEK ET AL.		
Applicant claims small emity status. See 37 CFR 1.27						Examiner Name				Perez-Gutierrez			
🖰							Group	Group Art Unit 2686					
		TOTAL	AMOUNT OF	PAYMENT	(\$) 130	.00		Attorr	Attorney Docket No. CS11336				
METHOD OF PAYMENT (check all that apply)							FEE CALCULATION (continued)						
Check Credit card Moncy Order Cther None						4. ADDITIONAL FEES							
X Doposit Account								Largo <u>Entity</u>		Small Entity			
	Deposit Acco	ount Number	[02117	7		Fee	Foe	Foo	Fœ		
	Deposil Ac	count Name	Ì	МОТ	OROLA I	NC.		Code	(5)	Code	(\$)	Fee Description	1
		zed to: (check	all that app			any overpayme	n.tm.	1051 1052	130 50	2061 2052	66 25	Surchargo – lato filing foe or cath Surcharge – late Provisional filing	
X Char	rgo foo(s) indi	CELCO DOIOW		LX	Credui	arry overpayme.	11.5	1053	130	1053	130	Non-English specification	
X Char	ge any addili	onal lea(s) or u	ındorpaymo	ents of fee(s)	ındei 37 Cl	FR 1.16 and 1.1	7	1812	2520	1812	2520	For filing a request for ex parte Regramination	
		indicated belov		or the filling f	e to the			1804	920*	1804	920*	Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action	
abx	ove-taentriea	deposit accoun	nţ.					1805	1840*	1805	1840°	Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action	
		FJ	SE CAL	CULATIO	วท			1251	120	2251	60	Extension for repty within first month	
								1252 1253	450 1020	2252 2253	225 510	Extensine for raply within second month	\vdash
1. BASIC FILIN	C CEACCH	AND EVALU	NATION E	FEC				1254	1590	2254	795	Extension for mply within filled month Extension for mally within fourth month	├ ─┤
I. BASIC FILIN		ING FEES		EARCH FEES	EXAM	INATION FEES	7	1255	2160	2255	1080	Extension for reply within filth month	
andinging Tons	Large	Small Entity	tarpe	Small Entir		Smali Enti		1401	500	2401	250	Notice of Appeal	
Application Type	Fon (\$)	Fee (\$)	t-ee (\$)	Fan(\$)	Fce(\$)	Fee(\$)	Fee Paid (\$)		500	2402	250	Filing a brief in support of an appeal	
Utility	300	150	500	250	200	100		1403	1000	2403	500	Request for oral hearing	
Design	200	100	100	50	130	65		1451 1452	1510 500	1451 2452	1510 250	Position to statistic a public ster proceeding Position to revive — unavoidable	
Ptant Relasuo	200 300	100 150	300 500	150 250	160 600	80 300		1453	1500	2453	750	Petition to revive – unintentional	+
Provisional	200	100	0	0	0	0		1501	1400	2501	700	Utility issue fee (or reissuo)	
			1					1502	600	2502	400	Design issue fee	
								1503 1480	1100 130	2503 1460	550 130	Plant issue fee Petitions to the Commissioner	130
Ì								1807	50	1807	50	Processing fee under 37 CFR 1.17(g)	100
2. EXTRA CLAI	IM FEES					Fees (\$)	Small Entity Fee (S)	1806	180	1806	180	Submission of IDS	
Each claim over 20 o						50	25	8021	40	8021	40	Recording each patent assignment	
Earh independent eta Multiple Dependent C		Rabsues, each ind	Sependem dai	m more than in t	no original pak	ani 200 360	100 180	1809	790	2809	395	per proporty (fitnes number of experies) Hilling a submission after final sejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))	
Total Casins - 20 or	HP =	Ctairre	Fee (8)	Fee Paid		Mulipie De	pendani Clamia I se Mast (\$)	1810	790	2810	395	For each artistonal invention to be	
HP = bighest number	ol total claims pt	aid for. If greater th	en 3			360		1801	790	2801	395	national (27 CFA § 1 129(b)) Request for Continuos Examination	
Indep. Claims		Extra Chime		F 00 (S		Fee Paid (\$		1802	900	1802	800	Request for expedited examination	
·3¢	HP =			×	•		_					of a design application	
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper, the application size for it \$250 (\$125 for small entity)										Foo Paid (\$)	T		
Por each additional 50 shoots or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).]							
Total Sheets Extra Sheets 50 or (rection there of the Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)													
- 100 = /50 + (nound up to a whole number) X 250 T						5. OTHER FEE(S) (specify)							
						Non-English Specification, \$130 lee (no small entity discount) Fee Paid (3)							
l			_					-				res red (3)	J. ———
SUBMITTED BY ROLAND K. BOWLER II						1							
Name (Printrype)						Regis	tration No.		. 3	3,477 Telephone 8	47-523-3978		
Signature						Date 2 February 2006				_			
		1/	_		-	-	•						

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

FEB 0 2 2006

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application	n of)		
	HAYEK ET AL.)	Examiner R. Perez	z Gutierrez
	HATEK ET AL.)	Art Unit 2683	
Appl. No.:	09/998,489)		
Confrim. No.	6375)		
Filed:	30 November 2001)	Atty. Docket No.	CS11336
Title:	"RF Receivers And M	ethods"		

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 181

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, Virginia 22313

Sir:

Jurisdiction

The Commissioner has jurisdiction to consider the issues discussed below under 37 CFR 1.181.

Issue

At issue is whether Applicants' response filed on 7 February 2005 under 37 CFR 1.111 should be entered and prosecution re-opened, and more particularly whether the Examiner's Answer of 10 January 2005 stated a new grounds for rejection under 37 CFR 41.39 where the Examiner first cited a new prior art reference, to support Official Notice allegedly asserted previously.

09998489

Appl. No. 09/998,489 Examiner R. Perez Gutierrez Art Unit 2683

The more narrow issue is whether the Examiner took Official Notice prior to the Answering Applicants' Brief.

Overview of Procedural History

On 18 August 2004, Applicants' filed a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief seeking redress for the Examiner's final rejection of claims in the Office Action mailed on 18 May 2004.

On 7 February 2005, Applicants filed a response under 37 CFR 1.111 requesting that prosecution be re-opened in light of the new grounds of rejection stated in the Examiner's Answer mailed on 10 January 2005.

On 27 July 2005, the Board of Appeals remanded the application to the Examiner for consideration of Applicant's amendment filed on 7 February 2005.

On 13 January 2006, the Examiner mailed an Advisory action indicating a refusal to enter Applicants' 37 CFR 1.111 response filed on 7 January 2005.

In subsequent telephone inquiries, made by the undersigned representative, the Examiner's Supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold, refused to discuss the propriety of the Examiner's asserted official notice and indicated that prosecution would not be re-opened.

Applicants' now petition the Commissioner for relief.

Appl. No. 09/998,489 Examiner R. Perez Gutierrez Art Unit 2683

Summary of Rejection in Examiner's Answer Asserted By Applicants to Raise New Grounds of Rejection of Claim 11, 13, 14, 18 & 24

In the Answer of 10 January 2005, Claims 11, 13, 14, 18 and 24 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Publication No. 2001/0039182 (Atkinson) in view of Mouly et al.

Mouly was first cited by the Examiner in the Answer to Applicants' Brief. The Examiner asserted, in the Answer, on page 3, section (10), as follows:

... Note: In response to Appellant's challenge to the Official Notice rejection of Claims 11 and 22, the added reference of Mouly et al. is proceeded as evidence to support the rejection. [emphasis original]

Official Notice; Patent Office Procedure

The Examiner must provide specific factual findings predicated on sound technical and scientific reasoning to support a conclusion of common knowledge. The Examiner must also present Applicant with the explicit basis on which the examiner regards the matter as subject to official notice so that Applicants may challenge the assertion in the next reply after the Office action in which the common knowledge statement was made. MPEP 2144.03(B), Procedure for Relying on Common Knowledge Or Taking Official Notice.

<u>Discussion Of Prosecution History Supporting</u> Applicants' Assertion That Official Notice Was Not Taken

Discussion of 1st Office Action

Appl. No. 09/998,489 Examiner R. Perez Gutierrez Art Unit 2683

In the first non-final Office action mailed on 13 March 2003, Claim 11 was rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Publication No. 2001/0039182 (Atkinson). Claim 13, 14 and 18 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atkinson. In this regard, the Examiner admitted no deficiency of Atkinson relative to Claims 13, 14 and 18, though the Examiner concluded it would have been obvious to modify the teachings of Atkinson to meet certain limitations of the Claims. The Examiner did not discuss the rejection of Claim 24.

The Examiner thus failed to take Official Notice of any well known fact or common knowledge as required by MPEP 2144.03 or in a manner that would reasonably apprise Applicants' that the Examiner had taken Official Notice in the first non-final Office action of 13 March 2003.

Discussion of 2nd Office Action

In the second non-final Office action mailed on 25 September 2003, Claims 11, 13, 14, 18 and 24 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atkinson. Regarding Claim 11, the Examiner stated, at page 4 of the Office action mailed on 25 September 2003, that

[a]Ithough Atkinson does not specifically disclose that the frequency F3 is also outside a bandwidth o received signal harmonics, it would have been obvious ... to use a frequency F3 that is outside the bandwidth of received signal harmonics in order to further minimize any effect in VCO 38 from a potential coupling of the received signal [citations omitted].

Regarding Claims 13, 14, 18 and 24, without admitted any further deficiency of Atkinson, the Examiner stated at page 4 of the Office action mailed on 25 September 2003, that

Appl. No. 09/998,489 Examiner R. Perez Gutierrez Art Unit 2683

... it would have been obvious ... to modify the teachings of Atkinson to specifically select a frequency divide ratio greater or equal to one that would have maintained the local oscillator frequency outside the bandwidth of harmonics or fundamental frequency of the received signal in order to prevent leakage of the local oscillator frequency.

The Examiner has thus failed to take Official Notice of any well known fact or common knowledge as required by MPEP 2144.03 or in a manner that would reasonably apprise Applicants' that Official Notice had been relied upon in the second Office action mailed on 25 September 2003.

Discussion of 3rd Office Action

In the third Office action, made final, mailed on 18 May 2004, Claims 11, 13, 14, 18 and 24 were rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atkinson. Regarding Claim 11, the Examiner repeated the rejected stated in the Office action mailed on 25 September 2003.

Regarding Claims 13, 14, 18 and 24, without admitted any further deficiency of Atkinson, the Examiner stated, at page 4 of the Office action mailed on 18 May 2004, that

...although Atkinson does not specifically discloses [sic] that the frequency divide ratio is greater or equal to one, Atkinson does disclose that the frequency divide ratio can be selected such that the received signal is mixed at a local oscillator frequency outside a bandwidth of a fundamental frequency of the received signal (e.g., outside a channel bandwidth) or local oscillator frequency derived from a VCO frequency that is outside a bandwidth of the nth harmonic of the received signal [citations omitted].

Therefore, it would have been obvious ... to modify the teachings of Atkinson to specifically select a frequency divide ratio greater or equal to one that would have maintained the local oscillator frequency outside the bandwidth of harmonics or fundamental frequency of the received signal in order to prevent leakage of the local oscillator frequency.

. ; }

IIAYEK ET AL.
"RF Receivers And Methods"
Atty. Docket No. CS11336

Appl. No. 09/998,489 Examiner R. Perez Gutierrez Art Unit 2683

The Examiner did not discuss the rejection of Claim 24 in the Office action of 18 May 2004.

The Examiner has thus failed to take Official Notice of any well known fact or common knowledge as required by MPEP 2144.03 or in a manner that would reasonably apprise Applicants' that Official Notice had been relied upon in the first non-final Office action mailed on 18 May 2004.

Analysis & Conclusion

The Examiner's rejections of Claims 11, 13, 14, 18 and 24 for obviousness under 35 USC 103(a) are based on mere conclusions. The official record in the instant application is devoid of any evidence or indication that the Examiner has taken Official Notice of any well known fact or common knowledge prior to the Examiner's Answer. The Examiner has failed to provide specific factual findings predicated on sound technical and scientific reasoning to support the conclusion of common knowledge and failed to present Applicant with an explicit basis on which the Examiner regards the matter as subject to official notice so that the Applicants may challenge the assertion in the next reply after the Office action in which the common knowledge statement was made, as required under MPEP 2144.03(B).

The Examiner's refusal to enter Applicants' amendment filed on 7 February 2005 and to re-open prosecution where Applicants' were first apprised of the Examiner's reliance on Official Notice upon receiving the Examiner's Answer is also a denial of due process.

The Examiner's citation of the new reference (Mouly) in support of the rejections of Claims 11, 13, 14, 18 and 24 in the Answer of 10 January

2 2

HAYEK ET AL.
"RF Receivers And Methods"
Atty. Docket No. CS11336

Appl. No. 09/998/489 Examiner R. Perez Gutierrez Art Unit 2683

2005 therefore states new grounds for rejection. Applicants' amendment under 37 CFR 1.111 filed on 7 February 2005 must be entered and prosecution must be re-opened as requested by Applicants under 37 CFR 41.39.

Prayer for Relief

Kindly remand the instant application to the Examiner with instructions to enter the amendment filed on 7 February 2005 and to re-open prosecution.

Respectfully submitted,

ROLAND K. BOWLER II

2 FEB. 2006

REG. No. 33,477

MOTOROLA, INC. INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPT. (RKB) 600 NORTH U.S. HIGHWAY 45, AN475 LIBERTYVILLE, ILLINOIS 60048

TELEPHONE No. (847) 523-3978 FACSIMILE No. (847) 523-2350